

#### VIRGINIA CRIMINAL SENTENCING COMMISSION



#### Possible Cover Sheet Modifications to Record Additional Offender Demographic Information

**September 11, 2017** 





Demographic Information Collected on Guidelines Forms in Other States and the Federal System



#### Demographic Information Recorded on Guidelines Forms in Other States and in the Federal System

	Defendant Name	Date of Birth	Gender	Race	Ethnicity	Judge Name	Case Number	Victim Race	Victim Age
Federal System	$\checkmark$						$\checkmark$		
Alabama	$\checkmark$					$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
Arkansas	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$					$\checkmark$		
Kansas	$\checkmark$						$\checkmark$		
Maryland	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
Massachusetts	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
Minnesota	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$		
Missouri	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
North Carolina	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
Oregon	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$		
Pennsylvania	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$
Utah	$\checkmark$								
Washington	$\checkmark$	✓	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>			
District of Columbia*	$\checkmark$	√ (Age Group)	~				~		

\* Based on data available for download at: https://scdc.dc.gov/node/1192395





#### Virginia's Sentencing

#### **Guidelines Forms**



#### Virginia's Sentencing Guidelines Coversheet

Scheduled Sentencing Date:	
Complete this form ONLY for applicable felonies sentenced on or after July 1, 2016.	Final Disposition Fill In After Sentence Has Been Pronounced
OFFENDER	
First Middle LastSuffix	Total Time Imposed Before Suspension
Date of Birth:	Total Effective Time to Serve Life Sentence +
CORIS CCRE: LV   A             Offender ID:           PSI #:	
	Post Release
	Post Release Term § 18.2-10
Judicial Circuit City/County FIPS Code:	
Sentencing Judge's Name Perometries due det	Post Release Supervision Period § 19.2-295.2(A)
Preparer Name Commonwealth's Attorney Probation Officer	
Prosecuting Commonwealth's Attorney Defense Attorney	Probation Period (Supervised) § 19.2 - 303
CONVICTIONS	Check all that apply
Offense Counts VCC Offense Date	Incarceration Sentence to Run Concurrently With Another Sentencing Event
Primary Offense Newh Day Year	<ul> <li>Written Plea Agreement Accepted (Rule 3A:8(c) (1) (A) or (C))</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Plea and Recommendation Accepted (Rule 3A:8 (c) (1) (B))</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Oral Sentence Recommendation Accepted</li> <li>Oral Sentence Recommendation Accepted</li> </ul>
	Restitution \$      Fine \$
Primary Offense Code Section § Docket Number	Other Sentencing Programs (check all that apply)
METHOD OF ADJUDICATION	Day Reporting Community-Based Program
	Diversion Center Incarceration
Uury Trial Sentence Set by Jury:	Electronic Monitoring
Bench Trial Guilty Plea Alford Plea/Nolo contendere	Unsupervised Probation/Good Behavior
	□ § 18.2-251/§ 18.2-258.1     □ Youthful Offender       □ Substance Abuse Treatment     □ Other
♦ SENTENCING GUIDELINES RECOMMENDATION	
Section B Section C	
Probation/No Incarceration	Must be completed pursuant to § 19.2-298.01(B)
Incarceration 1 Day to 3 Months	
Incarceration 1 Day to 6 Months Range Midpoint Incarceration 3 to 6 Months	
Probation/No Incarceration or	
Incarceration to 6 Months Sentence Range TO TO TO	
Section B Years Mode Days Years Mode Days Years Mode Days	
NONVIOLENT RISK ASSESSMENT Section D of Drug, Fraud, and Larceny Worksheets	
Recommended for Alternative Punishment     Not Applicable (INELIGIBILITY CONDITIONS marked on Section D)	SENTENCING DATE
NOT Recommended for Alternative Punishment       Not a DRUG, FRAUD or LARCENY Offense	
	Judge's Signature

VIRGINIA CRIMINAL SENTENCING COMMISSION

Logged in as: Jody Fridley (3653)

5	W	//F	-7/	1
			- 🥑	

Search Worksheet Logout

Offender			
	Name	CCRE:	
	First: JOHN	CORIS Offender ID:	
	Middle:	PSI#:	
	Last:	SSN:	
	Suffix:	Date of Birth:	mm/dd/yyyy
Court			
	Judicial Circuit:	FIPS Code: 003	
	City/County:		
	Sentencing Judge's Name:		For Office Use only:
	Prosecuting Commonwealth's Attorney:		
	Defense Attorney:		
	Preparer Name:		
		Commonwealth's Attorney	
		Probation Officer	
Convictio	ns		
Offense		Counts	VCC Offense Date
	Primary Offense		Month Day Year
	Additional Offense 🕞 +		
	Additional Offense - + Dffense Code Section §.	Docket Number:	
Primary C	Offense Code Section §:	Docket Number:	
Primary C	Offense Code Section §:		
Primary C	Offense Code Section §: OF ADJUDICATION U Jury • Sentence Set by Jury:	yyy mm ddd 🛛 Life 🗖	Juvenile Fine Only
Primary C	Offense Code Section §:	yyy mm ddd 🛛 Life 🗖	Juvenile Fine Only
Primary C	Offense Code Section §: OF ADJUDICATION U Jury • Sentence Set by Jury:	yyy mm ddd 🔲 Life 🗖 a/Nolo contendere	Juvenile Fine Only
Primary C	Offense Code Section §:         OF ADJUDICATION         Jury • Sentence Set by Jury:         Bench Trial         Guilty Plea         Alford Ple         CING GUIDELINES RECOMMENDATION	yyy mm ddd 🔲 Life 🗖 a/Nolo contendere	Juvenile Fine Only

#### Discussion

Complete this form ONLY for applicable felonies sentenced on or after July 1, 2016.
OFFENDER
First Middle LastSuffix
Date of Birth:
CORIS CCRE: V A PSI#:
COURT
Judicial Circuit City/County FIPS Code:
Sentencing Judge's Name
Preparer Name Commonwealth's Attorney Probation Officer
Prosecuting Commonwealth's Attorney Defense Attorney
CONVICTIONS     Offense Counts VCC Offense Date     Primary Offense     www. □vy Yww
Additional Offenses
Primary Oriense Code Section § Docket Number
METHOD OF ADJUDICATION         Jury Trial Sentence Set by Jury:       Jury Trial Sentence Set by Jury:         Bench Trial       Guilty Plea         Alford PlealNolo contendere
SENTENCING GUIDELINES RECOMMENDATION
Section B     Section C       Probation/No Incarceration     Life Sentence       Incarceration 1 Day to 3 Months     Incarceration ( <i>Enter Midpoint and Range Below</i> )       Incarceration 3 to 6 Months     Range Midpoint       Incarceration 1 Sto 6 Months     Sentence Range       Sentence Range     To
Incarceration to 6 Months Sentence Range
NONVIOLENT RISK ASSESSMENT     Section D of Drug, Fraud, and Larceny Worksheets     Recommended for Alternative Punishment     NOT Recommended for Alternative Punishment     NOT Recommended for Alternative Punishment     NOT Recommended for Alternative Punishment

Millette, S.JJ. PRESENT: Mims, McClanahan, Powell, Kelsey, and McCullough, JJ., and Russell and

THE DAILY PRESS, LLC, ET AL

v. Record No. 160889

OPINION BY JUSTICE STEPHEN R. McCULLOUGH June 29, 2017

## OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY OF THE SUPREME COURT OF VIRGINIA, ET AL.

# FROM THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF NEWPORT NEWS David F. Pugh, Judge

judgment of the trial court. its request to them, not to the Office of the Executive Secretary. Consequently, we affirm the the statutorily designated custodians of court records and, therefore, The Daily Press must make records or whether the clerks of court fulfill that role. or whether, instead, The Daily Press must ask each jurisdiction's clerk of court for certain court the Office of the Executive Secretary of the Supreme Court of Virginia ("Executive Secretary") Code § 2.2-3700 et seq. ("VFOIA") entitles The Daily Press to obtain a copy of a database from records. The question before us on appeal is whether the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, The answer turns on whether the Executive Secretary is the legal custodian of court We conclude that the clerks of court are

## BACKGROUND

servers operated and housed at the Executive Secretary's offices in Richmond. The Executive and surrounding communities. It also publishes stories that are available on the internet. David Virginia Freedom of Information Act asking for a searchable version of a database hosted on and the criminal justice system. Ress made a request to the Executive Secretary under the Ress is a reporter for The Daily Press. The Daily Press publishes a daily newspaper that is circulated on the Virginia Peninsula Ress has written articles for The Daily Press about race

provide the information; sixty-eight clerks objected. information to The Daily Press. Fifty of the clerks consented to allow the Executive Secretary to out to the 118 individual clerks whose information it hosted to request permission to provide this information and that the request should be addressed to them. The Executive Secretary reached Secretary took the position that the circuit court clerks are the custodians of the requested

of court were joined as necessary parties. among other things, that it is not the custodian of the records. In addition, the 68 objecting clerks Secretary to honor the request. The Executive Secretary defended the petition by arguing The Daily Press and Ress filed a petition for a writ of mandamus to compel the Executive

reports, generate a master calendar for the courts, and assemble daily dockets. CCMS was majority of the clerks of the circuit courts - 118 out of 120 - have opted to use CCMS can be used to monitor the status of cases, prepare orders and forms, prepare civil and criminal Circuit Case Management System ("CCMS"), an electronic case management database. CCMS created, operated and maintained multiple electronic systems. One of those systems is the system." Code § 17.1-502(A). In its capacity as court administrator, the Executive Secretary has circuit court system, which includes the operation and maintenance of a case management Secretary. Among other duties, the Executive Secretary serves as "the administrator of the created in the 1980s. The court heard evidence about the respective duties of clerks of court and the Executive The clerks decide whether to use CCMS or a different system. The great

stored on a computer server that is located in the Executive Secretary's office in Richmond. personal information such as birthdays and social security numbers. information about the defendant and information relating to the case, and it also includes Clerks enter case information data into the CCMS database. This data includes a range of The CCMS database is The

The clerks bear the responsibility of deleting data or records from CCMS Executive Secretary owns the server. The Executive Secretary does not enter data into CCMS

automatically occurs every 15 minutes. Unlike CCMS, OCIS is a read-only database; that is, its second database. OCIS is an exact copy of the CCMS database. to case information through the internet. Like CCMS, clerks must elect to participate in OCIS content cannot be changed. software which replicates information contained in the CCMS database and creates a new it displays on OCIS. To create the OCIS database, the Executive Secretary licenses database viewed. The Executive Secretary honors the clerks' directions with respect to what information OCIS can limit the date range of cases that can be viewed and the types of cases that can be Secretary to display the case data through this database. Clerks who have chosen to participate have provided written authorization to the Executive servers at the Executive Secretary's offices. OCIS is designed to provide broader public access The Online Case Information System, or OCIS, is another database that resides or A clerk who chooses to participate in The copying process

of case information. Software limits the information that the public can see through the OCIS particular jurisdiction. Statewide searches are not available and neither are bulk-data downloads system. name, hearing date, or case number. OCIS provides information about specific cases in a Through OCIS, members of the public can search for a particular case through a party' For example, the public cannot see birthdates and social security numbers

online case information system (OCIS) database are not in the the public records sought by the Daily Press and contained in the contained in the OCIS database court clerk is the custodian of that clerk's respective case data Virginia's Freedom of Information Act, and, instead, each circuit "custody" of [the Executive Secretary], as that term is used in

The trial court denied the petition for mandamus, reasoning that

ŝ

This appeal followed.

### ANALYSIS

questions concerning where to draw the line with respect to VFOIA fall within the purview of the law instead require The Daily Press to make its VFOIA request to individual Clerks of does VFOIA compel the Executive Secretary to answer the request from The Daily Press or does responsibility for charting public policy, our function is limited to adjudicating a question of law: the General Assembly. In a regime of separated powers that assigns to the legislature the Court? At the outset, it is worth emphasizing that this Court's role is limited. Public policy

S court's decision de novo. .E.2d 858, 860 (2015). Because the issue before us is a matter of statutory interpretation, we review the trial Fitzgerald v. Loudoun Cnty. Sheriff's Office, 289 Va. 499, 504, 771

RECORDS CLERKS OF COURT ARE THE EXPRESSLY DESIGNATED CUSTODIANS OF COURT

custodian of the record. Id. VFOIA, however, does not define the term "custodian." defined by VFOIA. A Virginia citizen making a VFOIA request must make that request to the son of the Commonwealth during the regular office hours of the custodian of such records." Code 2.2-3704(A). It is undisputed that the Executive Secretary is a "public body" as that term is VFOIA requires "public records" to be "open to inspection and copying by any citizens

clerks of court are the designated custodians of court records. That statute provides as follows: The Executive Secretary and the court clerks point to Code § 17.1-242 to argue that the

designated by the clerk, as well as records stored in electronic papers, deposited in their offices or at such location otherwise court records, including books, evidence, records, maps, and The circuit court clerks shall have custody of and shall keep all

on premises or elsewhere. format whether the storage media for such electronic records are

The Executive Secretary and the clerks rely on this statute to argue that the clerks of court are the

statutorily designated custodians of what are indisputably court records, and, therefore, The

Daily Press must make its records request to the clerks of court

holding the legislature did not mean what it has actually expressed the courts cannot put upon them a construction which amounts to Where the legislature has used words of a plain and definite import unless a literal construction would involve a manifest absurdity. legislature, that intention must be gathered from the words used, courts is to ascertain and give effect to the intention of the While in the construction of statutes the constant endeavor of the

provides that the clerks' custody extends to court records that are "stored in electronic format" 2 instance at the Executive Secretary's offices. Because the clerks of court are the expressly and that they remain custodians even if the electronic records are stored off premises, in this establishes the clerks as the custodians of the court records. In addition, this statute plainly Barr v. Town & Country Props., 240 Va. 292, 295, 396 S.E.2d 672, 674 (1990) (quoting Watkins Hall, 161 Va. 924, 930, 172 S.E. 445, 447 (1934)). We conclude that Code § 17.1-242 plainly

reasoning is germane to the question before us. Relying on Code § 17.1-242, the Attorney of the Attorney General. Although the question addressed in the opinion was different, the General explained that This straightforward reading of Code § 17.1-242 is bolstered by a 2002 official opinion designated custodians of court records, The Daily Press must address its VFOIA request to them

with any other court official, but rests exclusively with the elected maintained by the clerk's office. That responsibility is not shared clerk of the circuit court . . the circuit court clerk is responsible for the integrity of all records

systems maintained by the clerk of a circuit court, whether the Accordingly, I must conclude that automated case management

storage media is on or off premises, are records of the clerk's management system lies within the sound discretion of the clerk. office under the custody of such clerk. Access to such a case

clerk of court is the official who has custody of court records conclusion in 2013. 2013 Op. Atty. Gen. Va. No. 13-047 (August 20, 2013). The General Assembly has taken no corrective action to dispel the Attorney General's conclusion that the 2002 Op. Atty. Gen. Va. No. 02-026 (April 04, 2002). The Attorney General reiterated this

the court docket."). records of the court . . . the publication of court orders, issuing subpoenas, and the preparation of Foundation Library (1958) ("The Clerk of the General Court was responsible for keeping the aforesaid"); see also Hugh F. Rankin, The General Court of Colonial Virginia, Colonial "clerkes [sic] of the courts . . . [to] keep records of the proceedings of all actions and causes court records for public access since colonial times. longstanding historical practice. Williamsburg Foundation Library Research Report Series - 0088, Colonial Williamsburg Large 303-04 (1823) (citing the Act X of November 1645 under King Charles I, designating This designation of court clerks as the custodians of court records accords with Clerks of court have borne the responsibility of maintaining See 1 William Waller Hening, Statutes at

court records. Both CCMS and OCIS are indisputably "court records." custodian of the records. Code § 17.1-242 designates the clerks of court as the custodians of for court records must be made to the custodian of those records, the clerks of court The VFOIA directs persons who wish to obtain public records to direct the request at the Accordingly, a request

text to determine who is the custodian of a record. Code § 17.1-242 is not part of VFOIA. Code § 17.1-242 is irrelevant and that we should instead look exclusively to VFOIA as the sole The Daily Press makes several arguments to resist this conclusion. First, it argues that The

Secretary is a "public body" in possession of a "public record," it is the "custodian" of the record its ordinary meaning, namely, someone who has possession of something. term "custodian" is not defined in VFOIA, so, The Daily Press argues, we should give that word and, therefore, the database must be disclosed Since the Executive

by law." must be made to them.1 might be more than one custodian of a public record. With respect to court records, however, the purposes is the public body in possession of such a record. It is certainly possible that there open to copying and inspection from the custodian, "[e]xcept as otherwise specifically provided the persons who have custody of court records. Therefore, a VFOIA request for court records General Assembly has "otherwise specifically provided by law" by designating court clerks as We conclude that this is the wrong approach. VFOIA states that public records must be Code § 2.2-3704. We agree that in the ordinary situation, a "custodian" for VFOIA

et seq. custodians of court records in Code § 17.1-242 is part of an overall statutory scheme that court system, which includes the operation and maintenance of a case management system." of court to maintain court records for, among other things, public access. See Code § 17.1-206 ascribed to court clerks and to the Executive Secretary. A wide range of statutes call for clerks Code § 17.1-502(A). The General Assembly's designation of circuit court clerks as the The Executive Secretary, on the other hand, is tasked with a support role for "the circuit This conclusion draws further support from the functions the General Assembly has

delineates for circuit court clerks the role of maintaining court records and providing the public

of public court records. We do not address this question, because it is not before us. Secretary must answer such a request or whether that request should be directed elsewhere before us is a VFOIA request addressed to the Executive Secretary and whether the Executive <sup>1</sup> The clerks intimate that VFOIA may not apply at all to them, at least with certain kinds What is

file cabinets to generate and store these records records any more than if the Executive Secretary had supplied the clerks with the ink, paper, and hardware for CCMS and OCIS does not render the Executive Secretary the custodian of the court Executive Secretary. The fact that the Executive Secretary supplies the software and server with access to court records. The General Assembly has allocated a support role for the

media for such electronic records are on premises or elsewhere." certainly includes those records that are "deposited in their offices," but the statute further designates the clerks as custodians of all "records stored in electronic format whether the storage lend itself to this construction. It designates clerks as the custodians of "all court records," which "deposited" in the clerks' offices. The plain language of Code § 17.1-242, however, does not Amicus for The Daily Press argues that Code § 17.1-242 is limited to records that are Id

The Attorney General also points to Code § 2.2-3704(J), which specifies that

such records for purposes of responding to requests for public retrieving and supplying such public records to the requester. records made pursuant to this chapter and shall be responsible for initiating the transfer of such records shall remain the custodian of body, for storage, maintenance, or archiving, the public body records to any entity, including but not limited to any other public [i]n the event a public body has transferred possession of public

system. court records from the moment of their creation to the Executive Secretary. Here, the record is between the clerks of court and the Executive Secretary to transfer custody of computerized have keyed in the information, the clerks then transfer the data via electronic impulse to the the data. "transferred" to the Executive Secretary. We disagree. Disputing the applicability of this provision, The Daily Press argues that no records were ever In so doing the clerks are either creating or updating an electronic record. Once they The clerks of court enter case information and case management data into a computer The evidence establishes an agreement

 $\infty$ 

record to the Executive Secretary, that transfer does not turn the Executive Secretary into the custodian of the record § 2.2-3704(J) establishes that when clerks create or update a record and then send or transfer that another: transport." Webster's Third New International Dictionary 2426-27 (1993). Code take from one person or place to another" or "to cause to pass from one person or thing to Executive Secretary servers, where the data is stored. To "transfer" simply means "to carry or

"record" for purposes of VFOIA. The term "public records" is very broadly defined as Amicus for The Daily Press seeks to draw a distinction between a "stream of data" and a

numbers, or their equivalent, set down by handwriting, by, or in the possession of a public body or its officers, employees regardless of physical form or characteristics, prepared or owned recording or other form of data compilation, however stored, and impulse, optical or magneto-optical form, mechanical or electronic typewriting, printing, photostatting, photography, magnetic all writings and recordings that consist of letters, words or or agents in the transaction of public business.

transaction of public business." would be entirely artificial record. which data are transmitted and stored electronically, the electronically stored data is the public Code § 2.2-3701. The data constitute letters, words, and numbers, that are "prepared" by the clerks "in the With computer database systems, to which data is constantly added, and Any distinction between "records" and "data" in this context

shell game, shifting records from one governmental actor to another and thereby thwarting public The public knows or can easily find out where to ask for court records custodians of court records. Clerks have served as the custodians of court records for centuries access. The Daily Press also raises the prospect that governmental actors could play a confusing We see little danger of such a practice here. The Code expressly designates the clerks as

FOIA record." have custody under Code § 17.1-242. Second, Code § 2.2-3704(G) provides that "[t]he excision consent of a clerk of court, to serve the needs of court clerks, and of which the clerks statutorily when it duplicates CCMS. First, like CCMS, OCIS is a "court record" brought into being by the the fact that OCIS is an entirely different database that is created by the Executive Secretary OCIS, which is a read-only copy of CCMS, it does not create a new record for purposes of format to another shall not be deemed the creation, preparation, or compilation of a new public of exempt fields of information from a database or the conversion of data from one available The Daily Press also attempts to draw a distinction between CCMS and OCIS. It stresses Therefore, when the Executive Secretary uses database replication software to create

question is which governmental entity should respond to The Daily Press' request under VFOIA issue before us, moreover, is not whether records should be made public or shielded from public General Assembly has expressly designated the clerks as the custodians of court records. The Substituting our judgment for what the General Assembly has expressed would be another. The government." of governmental activities and afford every opportunity to citizens to witness the operations of provisions of VFOIA to be "liberally construed to promote an increased awareness by all persons view. There is no question the public can gain access to a wide range of court records. Finally, The Daily Press cites the provisions of Code § 2.2-3700, which call for the Code § 2.2-3700(B). The liberal construction of a statute is one thing The

records sought by The Daily Press.2 for public records. Here, court clerks are the expressly designated custodians of the public

## CONCLUSION

For these reasons, the judgment below will be affirmed.<sup>3</sup>

Affirmed.

<sup>2</sup> We have considered United States Dep't of Justice v. Tax Analysts, 492 U.S. 136

language between the VFOIA and its federal counterpart. (1989), cited by The Daily Press, but consider it inapposite due to the differences in statutory